

Исх. № 202
от 29.07.16 г.

АО «Казахстанская фондовая биржа»

Настоящим, АО «Центрально-Азиатская Электроэнергетическая Корпорация» (далее – АО «ЦАЭК») уведомляет о том, что международное рейтинговое агентство Fitch Ratings (далее – Fitch Ratings) 27 июля 2016 года присвоило рейтинг дефолта эмитента (далее – РДЭ) АО «ЦАЭК» в иностранной валюте «В+», прогноз «Стабильный».

Полный список рейтинговых действий Fitch Ratings от 27 июля 2016 года в отношении АО «ЦАЭК»:

Долгосрочные рейтинги дефолта эмитента в национальной и иностранной валютах «В+», прогноз «Стабильный»;

Национальный долгосрочный рейтинг «BBB(kaz)», прогноз «Стабильный»;

Краткосрочный РДЭ в иностранной валюте «В»;

Национальный приоритетный необеспеченный рейтинг «BB+(kaz)».

А также, в отношении облигаций первого выпуска НИН KZP01Y10E533 (ISIN KZ2C00002426) и второго выпуска НИН KZP02Y10E531 (ISIN KZ2C00003291):

Приоритетный необеспеченный рейтинг в местной валюте «В», рейтинг возвратности активов «RR5».

Приложение: Пресс-релиз Fitch Ratings на 5 листах (на английском языке).

Вице-Президент



Забережный Д. А.

FITCH DOWNGRADES CAEPCO TO 'B+'; OUTLOOK STABLE

Fitch Ratings-Moscow-27 July 2016: Fitch Ratings has downgraded Kazakhstan-based Joint Stock Company Central-Asian Electric-Power Corporation's (CAEPCo) Long-Term Foreign Currency Issuer Default Rating to 'B+' from 'BB-'. The Outlook is Stable. A full list of ratings actions is available at the end of this commentary.

The downgrade reflects our expectation that CAEPCo is unlikely to reduce its consolidated funds from operations (FFO) adjusted gross leverage to below 3x (3.8x in 2015) and to increase FFO interest coverage above 4.5x (4.9x in 2015) over 2016-2019. The weakening of CAEPCo's credit profile follows Kazakh tenge's sharp devaluation in 2015, given the company's high exposure to foreign currency risk. Fifty-four per cent of its debt at 1H16 was denominated in US dollar versus all revenue generated in local currency.

The ratings reflect CAEPCo's solid consolidated business profile, strong 1H16 financial results, vertical integration, and stable regional market position (despite overall small size). The ratings also take into account a currently fairly benign regulatory regime in the distribution sector, although the ratings are constrained by an unfavourable regulatory environment in the generation segment with tariffs kept at 2015 levels for 2016-2018. CAEPCo's capex remains significant, which Fitch expects to be partially debt-funded, resulting in further negative free cash flow (FCF) in 2016-2018.

We assess CAEPCo, and 100% subsidiaries - Pavlodarenergo JSC and Sevkazenergo JSC - on a consolidated basis, since there is no ring-fencing, treasury is centrally managed, debt is located at both holdco and opco levels. The debt of CAEPCo is primarily serviced by dividends from its opcos and we rate its notes without opco's guarantees one notch below the IDR.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

High FX Exposure Pressures Credit Metrics

The Kazakhstan tenge devaluation by more than 90% in 2015 weakened CAEPCo's credit profile due to a currency mismatch between the company's debt and revenues and the absence of hedging to reduce the company's foreign exchange exposure. At end-2015, 54% of CAEPCo's outstanding debt was denominated in US dollar, versus all local currency-denominated revenue.

We expect this pressure to continue, even with no further tenge depreciation. However, CAEPCo has some flexibility in dividend payments as well as in capex, as committed capex for 2016-2020 amounts to 61% of forecast total capex.

CAEPCo also maintains a portion of cash in US dollars. At end-2015, CAEPCo had 25% of cash and deposits in US dollars. The company is also exposed to interest rate risk since about half of its outstanding loans are drawn under floating interest rates.

Covenants Breach

As a result of the tenge devaluation CAEPCo breached its debt/equity covenant as per its loan agreement with EBRD in 2015, for which the company received a waiver. We expect CAEPCo to breach this covenant again in 2016-2019 even with no further tenge depreciation. Failure to obtain a waiver or revise the covenant may lead to further rating downgrade. EBRD owns 22.6% of CAEPCo.

Significant Capex, Negative FCF Expected

Capex is expected to remain significant despite the completion of the so-called mandatory investment programme agreed with the government in 2009-2015 when tariff caps were in place. Fitch expects CAEPCo to continue generating solid consolidated cash flow from operations (CFO) of KZT19bn on average over 2016-2019, although FCF is likely to remain negative at KZT5bn over same period.

The negative FCF will be mainly driven by the company's significant investment programme of KZT22bn on average annually for 2016-2019 as well as dividend payments of about 15% of net profit over the medium term. We have assumed lower capex, in line with our lower-than-management revenue forecast, reflecting that most of the investment is discretionary in nature. Fitch expects CAEPCo to rely on new borrowings to finance cash shortfalls.

Dividends to Delay Deleveraging

CAEPCo's financial policy to pay dividends could delay de-leveraging in the long term. However, CAEPCo retains the flexibility to lower dividends to preserve cash, as demonstrated in 2011 when it cut dividend to offset higher capex. In 2015 CAEPCo tightened its dividend payout range to 15% from 30%. Our rating case assumed the 15% payout from 2017, following the payment of KZT933m in January 2016. Nevertheless, we expect FCF to remain negative since FFO will not be sufficient to cover the high capex and dividends.

Sound Business Profile

CAEPCo is one of the largest privately-owned electricity generators in the highly fragmented Kazakh market, responsible for only 7.2% of electricity generation in 2015. Consequently, it is somewhat smaller than its rated CIS peers. It is vertically integrated across electricity generation, supply and distribution, which gives the company access to markets for its energy output and limits customer concentration.

CAEPCo covers electricity and heat generation, distribution and supply in the Pavlodar and Petropavlovsk regions through its 100% subsidiaries Pavlodarenergo JSC (4.1% of Kazakhstan electricity production) and Sevkazenergo JSC (3.1%), and electricity transmission and supply in Akmola Region through Akmola EDC and Astanaenergoby LLC. Electricity and heat generation services dominate CAEPCo's EBITDA, accounting for about 96% in 2015.

Strong 1H16 Results

CAEPCo demonstrated strong operational and financial results in 2015 and 1H16. The company commissioned three new turbines ahead of time and increased its modernised capacity to 542MW from 289MW. The share of modernised capacity reached 49%, up from 27% in 2014. Electricity production rose 7.4% during the same period, compared with a 3.3% decline in Kazakhstan and a further 11.6% yoy in 1H16 versus a 0.4% decline in Kazakhstan.

Despite our forecasts of Kazakhstan GDP declining by 1% and inflation increasing 14% in 2016, we expect the company's financial profile to remain strong with an average EBITDA margin of about 23% over 2016-2019, which will support CAEPCO's ratings. This is based on our assumptions of approved tariff growth for the distribution segment, and 0% tariff growth for the generation segment for 2016-2018.

Regulatory Environment

Following the postponement of the capacity market launch in Kazakhstan until 2019, the regulator decided to freeze generation tariffs and set them at 2015 levels for 2016-2018. However, in electricity distribution five-year tariffs were approved using the "cost plus allowable margin" methodology instead of the "benchmarking" that was previously used.

Tariffs at Pavlodar EDC, North-Kazakhstan EDC and Akmola EDC in 2020 compared with 2015 were approved with 8%, 3% and 7% increases, respectively, in CAGR terms. In the heat segment

the "cost plus allowable margin" methodology continues to be applied with tariffs also approved for a period of five years but at steeper increases in the heat generation segment of CAGR 5%-21% for 2016-2020, and in the heat distribution segment CAGR 11%-19%. The heat distribution business continues to be loss-making due to large heat losses and regulated end-user tariffs, which Fitch assumes are kept low for social reasons (heat generation is reported within overall generation and is cash flow-accretive).

No Parent Uplift or Constraint

Unlike most Fitch-rated utilities in CIS, CAEPCo is privately owned and therefore not affected by sovereign linkage. The company is run as a standalone enterprise and as such we do not assume any credit linkages with the 57.4% controlling parent, Kazakhstan-based Central-Asian Power-Energy Company JSC (CAPEC). The remaining shares are held by three institutional shareholders. The ratings therefore reflect CAEPCo's standalone credit profile.

In 2015 CAPEC sold 7.25% of shares to three private equity funds. We view the sale as credit-neutral; however, enterprise value/EBITDA 2015 multiple of around 14x indicates the attractiveness of the company to investors.

KEY ASSUMPTIONS

Fitch's key assumptions within our rating case for the issuer include:

- Electricity volume growth in line with Fitch GDP forecasts of 2% p.a. over 2017-2019
- Tariff growth as approved by the government for distribution segment at 3%-8% CAGR over 2016-2020 and 0% for the generation segment for 2016-2018
- Capex in line with the company's adjusted on capex/revenue ratio
- Inflation-driven cost increase
- No further tenge depreciation
- Dividend payments of 15% of IFRS net income over 2017-2019 for CAEPCo, 50% for Pavlodarenergo and Sevkazenergo.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Negative: Future developments that could lead to negative rating action include:

- Sustained slowdown of the Kazakh economy, further tenge devaluation, increase in coal prices that is substantially above inflation or tariffs materially lower than our forecasts, leading to FFO-adjusted gross leverage persistently higher than 4x and FFO interest coverage below 3.5x.
- Committing to capex without sufficient available funding, and worsening overall liquidity.

Positive: Future developments that could lead to an upgrade include:

- A stronger financial profile than forecast by Fitch supporting FFO adjusted gross leverage below 3x and FFO interest coverage above 4.5x on a sustained basis.

LIQUIDITY AND DEBT STRUCTURE

Satisfactory Liquidity

Fitch views CAEPCo's and its subsidiaries' liquidity as satisfactory, assuming uninterrupted access to cash deposits mostly held at local banks as well as available external funding to finance forecast negative FCF over 2016-2019. At end-1H16, CAEPCo's cash and cash equivalents stood at KZT3.6bn, which together with short-term bank deposits with a maturity up to one year of KZT11.4bn and unused credit facilities of KZT9.2bn, are sufficient to cover short-term debt maturities of KZT16.3bn. However, further tenge devaluation and negative FCF over 2016-2019 mean CAEPCo is likely to raise further debt to finance cash shortfalls.

At end-2015, the majority of CAEPCo's debt was bank loans (KZT68bn or about 74%) and unsecured local bonds maturing in 2017- 2023 (KZT23bn in total or 25%). All current debt facilities (both secured and unsecured) are largely at the operating company level. At end-2015 pledged assets amounted to KZT120bn.

Senior Unsecured Notched Down

Fitch rates CAEPCo's local bonds one notch below the company's Long-Term Local Currency IDR of 'B+' as the notes are issued at the holding company level (CAEPCo). They do not benefit from upstream guarantees from operating subsidiaries, have no security over operating assets and no cross defaults with other facilities.

FULL LIST OF RATING ACTIONS

Long-Term Foreign and Local Currency IDRs downgraded to 'B+' from 'BB-'; Outlook Stable
National Long-Term Rating downgraded to 'BBB(kaz)' from 'BBB+(kaz)'; Outlook Stable
Short-Term Foreign Currency IDR affirmed at 'B'
Local currency senior unsecured rating downgraded to 'B' from 'B+'; Recovery Rating 'RR5'
National senior unsecured rating downgraded to 'BB+(kaz)' from 'BBB-(kaz)'

Principal Analyst

Dmitry Doronin

Analyst

+7 495 956 9984

Supervisory Analyst

Elina Kulieva

Associate Director

+7 495 956 9975

Fitch Ratings CIS Ltd

26 Valovaya Street

Moscow 115054

Committee Chairperson

Josef Pospisil, CFA

Managing Director

+44 20 3530 1287

Media Relations: Peter Fitzpatrick, London, Tel: +44 20 3530 1103, Email:
peter.fitzpatrick@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com. For regulatory purposes in various jurisdictions, the supervisory analyst named above is deemed to be the primary analyst for this issuer; the principal analyst is deemed to be the secondary.

Applicable Criteria

Corporate Rating Methodology - Including Short-Term Ratings and Parent and Subsidiary Linkage (pub. 17 Aug 2015)

https://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=869362

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTP://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](http://fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEBSITE 'WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM'. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO

AVAILABLE FROM THE 'CODE OF CONDUCT' SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2016 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001